

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/888,805	06/25/2001	David L. Putnam	РНОТО-3	1917
29039	7590 09/26/2003			9
JEFFREY B. OSTER		EXAMINER .		
8339 SE 57TH ST MERCER ISLAND, WA 98040			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/888,805	PUTNAM ET AL.			
	Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
ř		Lyle A Alexander	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	uly 2003 .				
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/888,805

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/15645.

WO 98/15645 teaches a biological indicator utilizing an oxygen sensing means utilizing the claimed indicators and polymers. On page 12 lines 35+ teach the indicator can be made by admixing an oxygen sensitive dye with a polymeric material. The claimed method of intended use are of no patentable moment with respect to the pending device claims. The biological indicator of WO 98/15645 is used in a closed package environment that is indistinguishable from the claimed method of intended use and would have been able to perform the claimed function of oxygen indication.

WO 98/15645 is silent to the claimed plurality of non-oxygen sensing polymer films and the conditions of melting the polymer between about 140'C-240'C.

The court decided <u>In re Harza</u> (124 USPQ 380) "the mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced".

Further, it is desirable to use multiple layers as redundancy to insure that there are no manufacturing imperfections in the polymer that would permit gas to escape.

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify WO 98/15645 and use a plurality of layers to gain the above advantages to achieve the expected result of preventing the escape of sample (see Harza above).

Application/Control Number: 09/888,805

Art Unit: 1743

The court decided <u>In re Boesch</u> (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. A result effective variable is one that has predictable and well-known results. The melting temperatures of various polymers are well known in the art and have the expected/known function of softening/liquefying the polymer. It is necessary to soften/liquefy the polymer so that it may be mixed with the indicator and shaped/formed in to the desired shape. It would have been within the skill of the art to modify WO 98/15645 and use a temperature range of about 140°C-240°C to softening/liquefy the polymer to gain the above advantages as optimization of a result effective variable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' state WO 98/15645 fails to teach a plurality of non-oxygen sensing layers. The Office has addressed this issue in the 35 USC 103 rejections above that the mere duplication of a part to achieve the expected result has no patentable significance (see Harza above).

Applicants' traverse the 35 USC 103 rejection of claim 10 on the basis that the Office has failed to make a prima facie showing of unpatentability of base claim 6. The Office maintains the rejection of claim 6 is proper as well as the rejection of claim 10.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

, Art Unit: 1743

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
